(3)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

W.P.NO. 3180 /2005

PETITIONER

Bhuwanlal Banjare, S/o Sadhuram Banjare, Aged about 41 years, R/o R.E.S. Colony, Odgi, Tahsil Surajpur, District –Surguja (C.G.)

P.R. No. 3348/25 Munsi Dey
Present: [illegible]
dated 14/7/25

Versus

Respondents :

1) The State of Chhattisgarh: Through the Secretary, Panchayat and Social Welfare Department, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.)

2) The Collector, Ambikapur, District Sarguja (C.G.)

3) The Superintendent of Police, Ambikapur, District – Surguja (C.G.)

4) The Zila Panchayat Ambikapur, Through its Chief Executive Officer, Zila Panchayat, Aambikapur, Distt. Sarguja (C.G.)

5) The Janpad Panchayat, Odgi, Through its President, Janpad Panchayat, Odgi, Tahsil Surajpur, District-Sarguja, (C.G.)

6) Sanjay Kumar Pandey, Village-Raghunathpur, Sarna Chowk, Tahsil Surajpur, District Sarguja (C.G.)

RECEIVED COPY
14.7.25
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

शपथ आयुक्त
[illegible]

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

(34)

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P. No. 3180 **सन्** 2005

..... **विरुद्ध** .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	03.08.2005 Shri P. Diwakar, Sr. Advocate with Shri P.R. Patankar, counsel for the petitioner. Shri Arun Sao, Dy. Govt. Advocate for the State/respondents No. 1, 2 & 3 on advance copy. **I.A. No. 6198 of 2005:** This is an application for urgent hearing. The matter is taken up on Board. I.A. No. 6198 of 2005 for urgent hearing stands disposed of. **W.P. No. 3180 of 2005:** Heard on admission. The case of the petitioner is that he is presently working as Chief Executive Officer at Janpad Panchayat, Odgi. At the time of selection to the post of Shiksha Karmi, Grade-III in the said Janpad Panchayat, the petitioner was a member of selection committee. After the selection, the select list was published and in pursuance of the said list about 70 Shiksha Karmis, Grade-III were appointed and they have joined their services. Later on vide order dated 2.7.2005 passed by the Chief Executive Officer of Zila Panchayat, Amibikapur, District-Surguja, the selection list of Shiksha Karmis, Grade-III was cancelled saying that certain irregularities have been committed in the process of selection. The aforesaid action of the Zila Panchayat was challenged by the selected candidates in the writ petition bearing No. W.P. 2927 of 2005 and while issuing notices	

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P.No. 3180 **सन्** 2005

..... **विरुद्ध** .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित -2-	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

to the respondents, the High Court passed certain order of status quo. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that on account of the petitioner being a member in the selection committee, the petitioner is being harassed by the respondents including the respondent No. 3. i.e. Superintendent of Police and a criminal case is likely to be registered against the petitioner on the basis of complaint made by respondent No. 6.

The reliefs claimed in the petition are that the records of selection committee be called and respondent No. 3/Superintendent of Police be also directed to produce the entire records of complaint made by respondent No. 6 and he should also be directed to conduct an independent enquiry relating to the charges which have been leveled against the petitioner. It has also been prayed that if any criminal case has been registered on the basis of report of respondent No. 6 against the petitioner, the same be set aside/quashed.

I have heard, the learned counsel for the petitioner at length and have also perused the records of the writ petition.

The petitioner has not made any averment in the petition that any criminal case has been registered against him on the basis of complaint made by respondent No. 6. It appears from the perusal of the writ petition that till now certain action has been proposed against the petitioner and in fact, no action has been taken against him or if any action has been taken against him, the petitioner is not aware of the same.

(36)

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P.No. 3180 सन् 2005

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित -3-	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	In the opinion of this Court, it shall be too early for this Court to entertain this petition at this stage. The petition appears to be premature. I am not inclined to entertain this writ petition at this stage. The petition is dismissed. However, a liberty is given to the petitioner to approach this Court again, if such an exigency arises. With the aforesaid observations, the petition stands finally dispose of. Consequently, M.W.P. No. 2663 of 2005 also stands disposed of. **Sd/-** **Sunil Kumar Sinha** **Judge**	

Vathi

9.8.05

(पीछे देखिये)